

APPLICATION NO.

09/850,206

23869

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE

05/07/2001

07/15/2004

7590

6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PAPER NUMBER

	www.uspto.gov	
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Michael Chung-Ta Chiang	1100-113	9723
	EXAMINER	
	ABRAMS, NEIL	

ART UNIT 2839

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_^
(H
\mathcal{A}

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Community	09/850,206	CHIANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Neil Abrams	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solve within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fe, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
·—	/ 				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,13</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached On	ice Action of form PTO-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	its have been received.				
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	•	eived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	·				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 🗖	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/850,206

Art Unit: 2839

Current drawings are indicated as informal.

Formal drawings for all sheets are now required and should be submitted with next response and should include necessary correction. See objections figs. 17 and claim 6.

Spec. and drawings again objected to, preload window", page 12, is not shown in figs. 17, 17A nor is it clearly discussed in the spec.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claim 6 feature must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The claim requires a contact free end within the housing however no fig. shows such feature.

For the "preload window". The terms are used in fig. 17 but the fig. is unclear and is not believed to depict any such feature.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8-12 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niitsu in view of Anhalt, Burton, Lok and Matsuaka.

The patents are applied as discussed in the last office action.

Niitsu fig. 1 contact includes a flat portion between 32b and 28 and a spring portion 24 that overlies the flat portion. Note that claim 1 only requires a "flat portion",

Application/Control Number: 09/850,206

Art Unit: 2839

not that contact entire length from the housing to the bend be flat. Nor would it be unobvious to omit bends 30, 30b so entire contact extent would be flat as in Lok. Use with a LCD is not structural detail and also is taught by Burton. Dependent claim features are not at issue. For claims 14-17, reference "with no tie in with purpose or use does not overcome prior art.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niitsu in view of Anhalt, Burton, Lok, Matsuaka and Kihira.

It would have been obvious to form the Niitsu contact ends 20 to bend downwardly to extend to within an outline of the housing in view of Kihira, fig. 8 to form a lower height housing.

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See above discussion.

Claims 7, 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2839

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Neil Abrams at telephone number (571)272-2089.

Abrams/ds

07/06/04

NEIL ABRAMS
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 322